

Message

---

**From:** Strauss, Linda [Strauss.Linda@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 11/8/2018 1:23:24 PM  
**To:** Beck, Nancy [Beck.Nancy@epa.gov]; Bertrand, Charlotte [Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov]; Baptist, Erik [Baptist.Erik@epa.gov]; Dunton, Cheryl [Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov]; Hanley, Mary [Hanley.Mary@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: Extension to COB Friday - RE: ACTION: Chemical Watch re PFAS under TSCA

Thanks

---

**From:** Beck, Nancy  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 08, 2018 8:22 AM  
**To:** Strauss, Linda <Strauss.Linda@epa.gov>; Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Baptist, Erik <baptist.erik@epa.gov>; Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>; Hanley, Mary <Hanley.Mary@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Extension to COB Friday - RE: ACTION: Chemical Watch re PFAS under TSCA

This seems fine to me. thanks.

---

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT  
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP  
P: 202-564-1273  
[beck.nancy@epa.gov](mailto:beck.nancy@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Strauss, Linda  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 7, 2018 3:37 PM  
**To:** Beck, Nancy <[Beck.Nancy@epa.gov](mailto:Beck.Nancy@epa.gov)>; Bertrand, Charlotte <[Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov](mailto:Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov)>; Baptist, Erik <[Baptist.Erik@epa.gov](mailto:Baptist.Erik@epa.gov)>; Dunton, Cheryl <[Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov](mailto:Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov)>; Hanley, Mary <[Hanley.Mary@epa.gov](mailto:Hanley.Mary@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** FW: Extension to COB Friday - RE: ACTION: Chemical Watch re PFAS under TSCA

Made those changes. It was a final rule so made that in yellow.

**Deliberative Process / Ex. 5**

**Deliberative Process / Ex. 5**

**Deliberative Process / Ex. 5**

**Incoming:** At last week's Chemical Watch US Regulatory Summit, a speaker raised questions as to whether the EPA would use its 'discretionary' TSCA authorities to address the "PFAS crisis". Included in the suggested approaches was whether EPA would:

- use its Section 4 testing authorities to ensure the public and regulators have more information on specific PFASs being identified in drinking water;
- bar the import of articles, including recycled articles, containing PFOA or PFOS;
- commit to not allowing new PFASs onto the market through new chemical exemptions, and thereby require a full PMN review process for all new PFASs.

Can you provide any feedback on whether EPA will be pursuing these, or other, paths as it continues to address PFASs?

## Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Addition info: <https://www.epa.gov/assessing-and-managing-chemicals-under-tsca/risk-management-and-polyfluoroalkyl-substances-pfass>

---

**From:** Jones, Enesta

**Sent:** Monday, November 05, 2018 4:07 PM

**To:** Strauss, Linda <[Strauss.Linda@epa.gov](mailto:Strauss.Linda@epa.gov)>; Dunton, Cheryl <[Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov](mailto:Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov)>; Pierce, Alison <[Pierce.Alison@epa.gov](mailto:Pierce.Alison@epa.gov)>; Ortiz, Julia <[Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov](mailto:Ortiz.Julia@epa.gov)>

**Cc:** Jones, Enesta <[Jones.Enesta@epa.gov](mailto:Jones.Enesta@epa.gov)>; Wadlington, Christina <[Wadlington.Christina@epa.gov](mailto:Wadlington.Christina@epa.gov)>; Drinkard, Andrea <[Drinkard.Andrea@epa.gov](mailto:Drinkard.Andrea@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** ACTION: Chemical Watch re PFAS under TSCA

Hi All,

Reporter: Kelly Franklin

DDL: 2 p.m., 11/7

At last week's Chemical Watch US Regulatory Summit, a speaker raised questions as to whether the EPA would use its 'discretionary' TSCA authorities to address the "PFAS crisis". Included in the suggested approaches was whether EPA would:

- use its Section 4 testing authorities to ensure the public and regulators have more information on specific PFASs being identified in drinking water;
- bar the import of articles, including recycled articles, containing PFOA or PFOS;
- commit to not allowing new PFASs onto the market through new chemical exemptions, and thereby require a full PMN review process for all new PFASs.

Can you provide any feedback on whether EPA will be pursuing these, or other, paths as it continues to address PFASs?